

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/647,475	LYNGBERG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jacob Cheu	1641	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jacob Cheu.

(3) Ms. Mueting.

(2) Chris Chin.

(4) Mr. Flickinger.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thiagarajam et al. 1995 paper.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: "Metabolically active" issue, applicant considers that the prior art teaches sensor detection oxygen consumption is not a metabolic active type compared to the instant invention where protein synthesis, DNA synthesis is generated. Second, "Non-porous" latex-derived material used in the instant invention distinguishes from the prior art where no non-porous latex been used. Third, Examiner will enter the After Final rejection amendments and reconsider the art rejections..